1 2 3 4 5 6	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMA MARC H. AXELBAUM (SBN 209855) marc.axelbaum@pillsburylaw.com ERICA N. TURCIOS (SBN 271655) erica.turcios@pillsburylaw.com Four Embarcadero Center, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 983-1000 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200 Specially Appointed Attorneys for Defendant	
7	JAMES MURRAY	
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVISION
11 12		
13 14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,) Case No. CR 12-0278 EMC
15 16 17 18	vs. JAMES MURRAY, Defendant.) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]) ORDER CONTINUING DATE OF) HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S) MOTION TO REVOKE DETENTION) ORDER AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT))
20	Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AME	RICA and Defendant JAMES MURRAY
21	("Defendant"), HEREBY STIPULATE, throu	igh their undersigned counsel, as follows:
22	1. WHEREAS, following a heari	ng on October 3, 2012 on the government's
23	Motion for Revocation of Defendant's Pretria	al Release (Dkt. 46), Magistrate Judge Cousins
24	revoked Defendant's pretrial release and deta	ined Defendant (Dkts. 47, 50), but granted
25	Defendant leave to present further evidence in	n opposition to the Motion for Revocation
26	(Dkt. 50);	
27		
28		

1	2.	WHEREAS, following evidentiary hearings held on October 24, 2012 and		
2	on December	18, 2012 on the government's Motion for Revocation, Judge Cousins issued		
3	an order dated December 19, 2013 detaining Defendant (Dkt. 82);			
4	3.	WHEREAS, on January 16, 2013, Defendant filed a Motion for Revocation		
5	of Magistrate	Judge's Order Revoking Defendant's Release on Bond and for De Novo		
6	Review of M	agistrate Judge's Order of Detention ("Motion to Revoke"), setting the Motion		
7	to Revoke for	hearing on February 6, 2013 (Dkt. 94);		
8	4.	WHEREAS on February 4, 2013, the government sent a letter to the Court		
9	expressing its	s concern that Defendant's retained counsel of record, Garrett J. Zelen, had a		
10	conflict of int	erest arising from certain alleged financial transactions that the government		
11	alleged preve	nted Mr. Zelen from continuing to represent Defendant;		
12	5.	WHEREAS at the hearing originally scheduled on Defendant's Motion to		
13	Revoke, the C	Court ordered that advisory counsel be specially appointed to advise Defendant		
14	on the conflic	et-of-interest issue, and continued the hearing on Defendant's Motion to		
15	Revoke to Ma	arch 6, 2013;		
16	6.	WHEREAS, on February 8, 2013, Magistrate Judge James specially		
17	appointed Ma	arc H. Axelbaum as Advisory Counsel to Defendant on the conflict of interest		
18	issue pursuant to the Criminal Justice Act (Dkt. 96);			
19	7.	WHEREAS on February 27, 2013, the government filed a Motion to		
20	Disqualify M	r. Zelen as counsel for Defendant (Dkt. 99) and Defendant filed a		
21	Memorandun	n of Points and Authorities re Allegation of Conflict of Interest, Waiver of any		
22	Alleged Conf	lict of Interest and Request for Evidentiary Hearing (Dkt. 98);		
23	8.	WHEREAS on March 6, 2013, this Court held a hearing on the		
24	government's	Motion to Disqualify and the conflict and waiver issues raised by Defendant		
25	and took the matter under submission, continuing until April 3, 2013 the hearing on			
26	Defendant's Motion to Revoke;			
7				

28

	1	9.	WHEREAS	during the	March 6,	2013 hearin	g, Mr. Zele	n stated that if the
--	---	----	---------	------------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------------------

- 2 Court were to disqualify him, he believed Defendant would qualify for appointed counsel
- 3 (RT 31:4-7);
- 4 10. WHEREAS on March 11, 2013, the Court issued an order disqualifying Mr.
- 5 Zelen as Defendant's counsel, ordering that the duty magistrate "immediately set a hearing
- 6 on identification of counsel." (Dkt. 103, p.9);
- 7 11. WHEREAS Defendant wishes to have the Court appoint counsel to represent
- 8 him in further proceedings in this case;
- 9 12. WHEREAS on March 19, 2013, Judge Cousins specially appointed Mr.
- 10 Axelbaum for the limited purpose of advising Defendant in completing a Financial
- 11 Declaration in Support of Request for Attorney Under the Criminal Justice Act ("CJA-23"
- 12 Form");
- 13. WHEREAS Defendant and Mr. Axelbaum need time to obtain and review
- documents in order to ensure that Defendant accurately completes the CJA-23 Form,
- particularly in light of the complexity of various allegations in the case surrounding
- 16 Defendant's financial position and certain alleged financial transactions of Defendant;
- 17 14. WHEREAS, if the Court determines that Defendant qualifies for court-
- appointed counsel and appoints new counsel, new counsel will need sufficient time to
- become familiar with the case and effectively prepare for the hearing on Defendant's
- 20 Motion to Revoke Judge Cousins' detention order and to prepare for the case in general;
- 21 15. WHEREAS Mr. Axelbaum and Defendant have conferred, and Defendant
- has agreed to continue the hearing on the Motion to Revoke;
- 23 WHEREAS the government has agreed to continue the hearing on the
- 24 Motion to Revoke;
- 25 17. WHEREAS as soon as Defendant and Mr. Axelbaum have had an
- opportunity to obtain and review the documents necessary to complete the CJA-23 Form
- and Defendant has finalized the Form, Defendant will submit the Form to Judge Cousins
- and set the matter for identification of counsel;

1	THEREFORE, Plaintiff and Defend	ant respectfully request that the Court vacate the
2	April 3, 2013 hearing on Defendant's Motion	on to Revoke detention, and continue the hearing
3	to May 8, 2013. Should the process necessar	ary to complete the CJA-23 Form and have
4	counsel identified take less time than anticip	pated, Defendant may seek to have the hearing
5	on the Motion to Revoke advanced to an ea	rlier date on the Court's calendar. The parties
6	also jointly request that the Court exclude the	he period from April 3, 2013 to May 8, 2013
7	from calculations under the Speedy Trial A	ct based on the need for Defendant to obtain
8	counsel, ensure continuity of counsel and for	or effective preparation of Defendant's new
9	counsel, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7))(B)(iv).
10	D . 1 M . 1 06 0010	
11	Dated: March 26, 2013	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
12		MARC H. AXELBAUM Four Embarcadero Center, 22nd Floor
13		San Francisco, CA 94111
14		By /s/ Marc H. Axelbaum
15		Specially Appointed Attorneys for Defendant JAMES MURRAY
16		Defendant JAMES MURRAY
17	Datada Marah 26, 2012	
18	Dated: March 26, 2013	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue
19		San Francisco, CA 94102
20		D /-/ D-1: I II:
21		By <u>/s/ Robin L. Harris</u> Robin L. Harris
22		Assistant United States Attorney
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 Based on the facts set forth in the foregoing stipulation, the Court finds that, taking 3 into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, granting the 4 continuance of Defendant's Motion to Revoke until May 8, 2013, is necessary for the 5 potential appointment of counsel, continuity of counsel and the effective preparation of 6 counsel for Defendant. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). Given these circumstances, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from April 3, 2013 to 7 8 May 8, 2013 outweigh the best interest of the public and Defendant in a speedy trial. Id. § 9 3161(h)(7)(A). 10 Accordingly, the Court (1) vacates the hearing previously set for April 3, 2013, at 11 2:30 p.m.; (2) sets a hearing on May 8, 2013, at 2:30 p.m.; and (3) orders that the period 12 from April 3, 2013 to May 8, 2013 be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 13 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(D) and 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv). 14 IT IS SO ORDERED. IT IS SO ORDERED Dated: March , 2013 15 Honorable 16 UNITERS 17 Judge Edward M. Chen 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28